

Appendix a) REPORTS FOR INFORMATION AND DISCUSSION AT PMPC Meeting 25th September 2017

ANPR/Business Park:

Recent emails on ANPR and Plot 5 Appeal.

The following show that we are making progress and having an affect. In particular, TVBC Deputy Leader Nick Adams -King was well aware of the strength of local feeling at the meeting with parishes on the 8th at the Weyhill Fairground organised by Pam Mutton:

Dear Nick ,

Many thanks for your helpful and interesting comments on a number of issues as Planning Portfolio Holder. I hope you will forgive me if I confirm some points in relation to the discussion on the Andover Business Park which is probably the most pressing item, at least at present.

You gave what you termed 'undertakings'

1 To convene an early meeting between parishes and the planning officers to discuss preparations for the forthcoming appeal by Goodmans in respect of 5 and to ask your fellow local members to encourage parishes to support our initiative to establish a steering group to lead the opposition to appeal .

2 To press for information about what data can be extracted at present from the ANPR System about barred route violations .

I found your comments about the Local Plan process thought provoking and will feed then back our next meeting.

Kind regards

Stephen Millen

Dear Mr Millen

Thank you for your email which has been passed to officers to respond.

HCC is aware that the appeal has been lodged by Goodman with regards to Plot 5, to which we made representation on the planning application. It is our intention to fully support Test Valley Borough Council in defending the appeal. Of course, this means that the data will equally be needed by us as well as other parties well ahead of the appeal.

As previously indicated, manual analysis of the data is currently underway. This has proven to be a very labour intensive process as it involves cross referencing each individual trip record to identify both route and vehicle classification (i.e. whether or not each 'matched trip' is an HGV). Once this stage of analysis is completed the data will then need to be both compiled and verified before being released publically. This will produce a list of potential violations - it needs to be borne in mind that a number of HGVs matched by ANPR cameras travelling to and from the business park will not be contravening the planning restriction (i.e. 'white list' vehicles) and work will be required by Goodmans, and verified by HCC, to finalise actual violations. Of course, we also need to ensure that any data release fully accords with data protection principles.

To date, two months data has been matched but not yet verified. We anticipate that completion of the full data set will take approximately another four to six weeks, although we are looking to see whether this can be accelerated by bespoke software development. I fully understand the desire for the earliest possible access to the data, but equally, I am sure you will agree that this data needs to be robust and irrefutable before it is put into the public domain. Anything less would, potentially, undermine the case at Inquiry.

Please rest assured that it is our intention that the aggregated BRV data will be made available to you at the earliest reasonable opportunity and, certainly, well ahead of any evidence submission deadline for the forthcoming Inquiry. We will also discuss with Test Valley Borough Council the sharing of this data.

While HCC is pushing hard for the full operation of the ANPR system, we are simply not in a position to put a firmer timescale on the work at this time. This is because of the very fact that this is a bespoke system and it involves an element of software development and integration. I can only reiterate that we continue to press for this and that it is being backed by the initiation of formal procedures.

Can I perhaps ask if the Parish Councils and other stakeholders have discussed any intention to present to the Inquiry as a Rule 6 party? If so, might this be collectively or individually? In this regard, it would be useful to hold a scoping meeting, jointly with Test Valley Borough Council, once the planning appeal timetable is known.

As indicated in the joint statement, it would be helpful if further correspondence on this matter is addressed to this single point of contact - strategic.transport@hants.gov.uk

Yours sincerely

Dominic McGrath

Nelsons:

Comments from Mandy Ware. Traffic Safety Team. HCC.

With regard to Nelsons lorries parking on the old road, there does not appear to be any restriction on HGV parking in this area and therefore the vehicles are not breaching any restriction by parking there. Matters relating to parking in the Test Valley Borough are handled by Test Valley Borough Council.

077/17 Full review and delegation of actions from Survey Results.

Please refer to the spreadsheet enclosed for allocation of 'owners' and comments

Comments received from PRC to discuss further:

- PRC members differed on the interpretation of "younger people"; one person thought it meant 30-to-45 year olds, whilst I thought it meant 10-year-olds. I would like to discuss this with you, and also see if you can give us further demographics on the age bands in the village
- PRC would like to submit a short article on our activities to be included regularly in your Parish Newsletter if you agree.
- They will draft an email for circulation, explaining in more detail the actions PRC is taking in response to the PMPC feedback

078/17 Speedwatch update

Training meeting conducted 4th September. High Viz jackets ordered. Signs being progressed. Costs of extra SID. Ongoing assessment and future possibilities to be discussed.

079/17 Lengthsman Scheme. Funding received. Worksheet forwarded to Lengthsman. Penton has priority over other parishes. Only one work sheet for other parishes received so far.

080/17 Grain store traffic.

The current situation of apparently unauthorised use of Chalkcroft Lane unfortunately continues. Two instances have been reported from D&K over 'intimidation'. The recommendation is that TVBC be asked to investigate and consider whether formal enforcement action should be undertaken

081/17 CIL Grant

There may be a chance of using what was the Developer Grant funding (now CIL) on items other than specified by TVBC. There is the region of about £4.5k

Suggestions received from PRC are

- table tennis table (reason: adult fitness, encouraging social activity)
- Pop-up Gazebos (to support community events such as fete, hogroast, fireworks, and our new idea a weekly social village market)
- New garden furniture for the Hall to support activities such as social coffee mornings, hogroasts, fete, and other activities to encourage elderly and less mobile residents to get together at the Hall for companionship
- Possibly a junior size table tennis table, to address (a) the comment about lack of events for younger people and (b) concerns that full size table won't fit in Small Hall

We should decide what we want added to this list prior to approaching TVBC

082/17 Penton Corner/ Harroway traffic

Comments from Mandy Ware. Traffic Safety Team. HCC.

Based on the prioritisation principles outlined above a review of Harroway Lane for edge lining and 'Unsuitable for HGV' signs is not a high priority for resource and funding. Road marking and sign improvement could be considered as part of a community funded project should the Parish Council wish to explore this further.

083/17 Audit comments

The 2017 Audit has been signed. There are recommendations for approval to be minuted as follows:

Expenditure powers. – S137 power incorrectly used.

Payments were made towards the cost of park benches which could have been authorised under S10 of the Open Spaces Act 1906 – power to maintain open space.

Payments were made towards the costs of garden maintenance which could also have been authorized as above

Why has this issue been raised?

The smaller authority incorrectly recorded items as Section 137 payments when other statutory powers exist. A payment cannot be recorded under S137 if another statutory power exists.

Recommendation: In future years review the list of statutory powers available to establish if a power exists before relying on S137 to authorize a payment. The statutory power being used to authorise the expenditure should be recorded alongside the item to demonstrate that the proper standing orders and financial regulations have been conducted.

This is the last year that outside audit is required as we fall under the £25k limit for additional audit.

Tim Law, our internal auditor will in future conduct the review required